

## **REMARKS**

After entry of this Response, claims 1 - 9 are pending in this application. Claim 6 has been amended. Reconsideration of the application is requested.


In the Office Action dated July 1, 2005, claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states the meaning of the phrases "second pumping fluid" and "second discontinuing pumping" are not clearly understood. As set forth in an Interview Summary included with this Amendment, the Examiner provided suggestions to overcome the rejection and these suggestion are adopted in this Amendment.

It is submitted that the amendments to claim 6 places the application in better form for appeal by eliminating the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. A Notice of Appeal accompanies this Amendment regarding the rejections of the claims 1 – 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). If the Examiner believes that prosecution of the application can be expedited by way of an Examiner's amendment, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at the telephone number listed below.

**Respectfully submitted,**

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS**

**October 3, 2005**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Raymond C. Meiers, Registration No. 51,081**  
Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C.  
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101  
39400 Woodward Ave.  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151  
(248) 723-0417

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 AND 1.8**

I hereby certify that this **AMENDMENT** and **INTERVIEW SUMMARY** are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Label No. EV695474994US, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to MAIL STOP AF, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on **October 3, 2005**.

  
Sandy Barry